

MATH 170B HW4

6.1

#2 Proof: ① By Thm I,  $Lf$  is unique, and Lagrange form is that unique polynomial, thus

$$Lf = \sum f(x_i) l_i.$$

② Show  $L$  is linear.

$$\begin{aligned} L(af+bg) &= \cancel{aLf + bLg} \\ \sum (af+bg)(x_i) l_i &= a \sum f(x_i) l_i + b \sum g(x_i) l_i \\ &= aLf + bLg. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

#4. Proof: By Thm I,  $Lq$  is the unique polynomial at order  $n$  must s.t.  $Lq(x_i) = q(x_i)$

And  $q$  itself is  $q(x_i) = q(x_i)$ ,

thus,  $q$  is the unique polynomial, i.e.  $Lq = q$ . □

#5. Proof: Consider  $f(x) = 1$ , then

$$Lf = \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i) l_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n l_i(x).$$

By #4,  $Lf = f$ , thus  $\sum_{i=0}^n l_i(x) = 1$ . □

#6 proof.

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \cancel{[f(x) - f(x_i)]} l_i(x) = f(x) \sum_{i=0}^n \cancel{l_i(x)} - \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i) l_i(x) \quad \dots (1)$$

then by #2 and #5

$$(1) = f(x) - p(x).$$

Q.E.D.

#2)

$x$	2	0	3
$f(x)$	11	7	28

① Lagrange form:  $l_0(x) = \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_2)}{(x_0-x_1)(x_0-x_2)} = \frac{(x-0)(x-3)}{(2-0)(2-3)} = -\frac{1}{2}x(x-3)$

$$l_1(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_2)}{(x_1-x_0)(x_1-x_2)} = \frac{(x-2)(x-3)}{(0-2)(0-3)} = \frac{1}{6}(x-2)(x-3)$$

$$l_2(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_1)}{(x_2-x_0)(x_2-x_1)} = \frac{(x-2)(x-0)}{(3-2)(3-0)} = \frac{1}{3}(x-2)x$$

$$\Rightarrow p(x) = -\frac{11}{2}x(x-3) + \frac{7}{6}(x-2)(x-3) + \frac{28}{3}x(x-2)$$

② Newton's form:

$$p(x) = c_0 + c_1(x-2) + c_2(x-2)(x-0)$$

Then solve  $\begin{cases} p(x_0) = 11 \\ p(x_1) = 7 \\ p(x_2) = 28 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} c_0 = 11 \\ c_0 + c_1(0-2) = 7 \\ c_0 + c_1(3-2) + c_2(3-2)(3-0) = 28 \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} c_0 = 11 \\ c_1 = 2 \\ c_2 = 5 \end{cases} \Rightarrow p(x) = 11 + 2(x-2) + 5(x-2)x$$

#22. The same as #21.

6.2 #5. *proofs* According to (b) on page 329.

$\sum_{i=0}^n p[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i] \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (x-x_j)$  is the Newton's form.

By #4 in 6.1,  $p(x) = Lp = \sum_{i=0}^n p[x_0, \dots, x_i] \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (x-x_j)$ .  $\square$

#8. *proofs* By Thm 1, Lagrange form = Newton's form  $\square$

#24.

x	4	2	0	3
f(x)	63	11	7	28

$$p(x) = C_0 + C_1(x-4) + C_2(x-4)(x-2) + C_3(x-4)(x-2)x$$

x	f(x)				
$x_0$	4	63	26	6	1
$x_1$	2	11	2	5	
$x_2$	0	7	7		
$x_3$	3	28			

$$C_0 = 63$$

$$C_1 = 26$$

$$C_2 = 6$$

$$C_3 = 1$$

upper triangular table

Lower triangular table

x	f(x)				
$x_0$	4	63			
$x_1$	2	11	26		
$x_2$	0	7	2	6	
$x_3$	3	28	7	5	1

6.3 #1

$x$	0	1	2
$p(x)$	2	-4	44
$p'(x)$	-9	4	X

Follow these arrows in data, one has

$$p(x) = C_0 + \cancel{C_1} - C_1 x + C_2 x^2 + C_3 x^2(x-1) + C_4 x^2(x-1)^2$$

$x$	$f(x)$			
$x_0$	0	2	$p'(0) = -9$	3
$x_0$	0	2	-6	10
$x_1$	1	-4	$p'(1) = 4$	44
$x_1$	1	-4	48	
$x_2$	2	44		

$$C_0 = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow C_1 = -9$$

$$C_2 = 3$$

$$C_3 = 7$$

$$C_4 = 5$$

$x$	$f(x)$			
$x_0$	0	2	-9	
$x_0$	0	2	-6	3
$x_1$	1	-4	4	10
$x_1$	1	-4	48	44
$x_2$	2	44	48	44

See 6.8:

#4 Use Induction.

①  $\{p_0\}$  is obviously linear indep.

② Assume  $\{p_0, \dots, p_k\}$  is linear indep.

Then consider  $\{p_0, \dots, p_{k+1}\}$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a_i p_i = 0, \text{ since only } p_{k+1} \text{ has term } x^{k+1}, \text{ so } a_{k+1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^k a_i p_i = 0 - a_{k+1} p_{k+1} = 0, \text{ by assumption, } a_i = 0, i=0, \dots, k$$

$$\Rightarrow a_i = 0, \dots, k+1.$$

D.

#5 Show  $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \langle g, u_i \rangle$ ,  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  is orthonormal set.

Proof:  ~~$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i$~~  Since  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i$ ,  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u_i$ ,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i, \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j u_j \right\rangle \text{ by orthogonality}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha_i u_i, \beta_i u_i \rangle, \text{ by } \|u_i\| = 1$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \langle g, u_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j u_j, u_i \right\rangle \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j u_j, u_i \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha_i u_i, u_i \rangle \langle \beta_i u_i, u_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i$$

□

#15 Proof: Let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  be an orthogonal set, and all  $u_i \neq 0$ .

Consider  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i = 0$

$$\text{then wlog } \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i, u_i \right\rangle = \langle 0, u_i \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_i \langle u_i, u_i \rangle = a_i \|u_i\|^2 = 0, \text{ since } u_i \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore,  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  is linear indep set.

□

#16 Proof: Let  $Af_1 = \lambda_1 f_1$   
 $Af_2 = \lambda_2 f_2$ ,  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$

then  $\langle f_1, Af_2 \rangle = \langle Af_1, f_2 \rangle = \langle \lambda_1 f_1, f_2 \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$   
 by self adjoint  
 $\Rightarrow \langle f_1, \lambda_2 f_2 \rangle = \lambda_2 \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ .

Finally, we have  $\lambda_2 \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ , since  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$   
 $\Rightarrow \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = 0$ , i.e.  $f_1 \perp f_2$ . □

#18. Proof: Thm 7

①  $P_n(\alpha f + \beta g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha f + \beta g, u_i \rangle u_i = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle u_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n \langle g, u_i \rangle u_i$   
 $= \alpha P_n f + \beta P_n g$ ,  $P_n$  is linear.

Then show  $P_n$  is "onto".

$\forall g \in U_n$ , ~~one can~~ i.e.  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i$  and  $g \in E$  also.

then consider  $P_n g = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle g, u_i \rangle u_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \sum_{j=1}^n a_j u_j, u_i \rangle u_i$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_i = g$ ,

thus  $P_n$  is surjective.

② Show  $P_n^2 = P_n$

In ①, we already showed if  $g \in U_n$ , then  $P_n g = g$ ,

thus  ~~$P_n(P_n g) = P_n(g) = g$~~

For  $f \in E$ ,  $P_n^2 f = P_n(P_n f) = P_n f$ , i.e.  $P_n^2 = P_n$ .

③ Let  $f \in E$ ,  $P_n f = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle u_i$ ,  $\forall g \in U_n$ ,  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u_i$ .

Then  $\langle f - P_n f, g \rangle = \langle f - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle u_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u_i \rangle$

$$= \langle f, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u_i \rangle - \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle u_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \beta_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \langle u_i, u_i \rangle \beta_i$$

Since  $\|u_i\|=1$  orthonormal.

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \beta_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f, u_i \rangle \beta_i \stackrel{\text{Since}}{=} 0$$

Since  $g$  is arbitrary,  $f - P_n f \perp U_n$ .

④ Consider  $\|f - P_n f\|^2 = \langle f - P_n f, f - P_n f \rangle$

$$= \langle f, f - P_n f \rangle - \langle P_n f, f - P_n f \rangle$$

$$= \langle f - g + g, f - P_n f \rangle, \forall g \in U_n$$

$$= \langle f - g, f - P_n f \rangle + \langle g, f - P_n f \rangle$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz  $\leq \|f - g\| \|f - P_n f\|$

$\Rightarrow$  divide  $\|f - P_n f\|$ ,  $\|f - P_n f\| \leq \|f - g\|, \forall g \in U_n$ .

i.e.  $P_n f$  is best approximation.

Let  $f, g \in E$

⑤  $\langle P_n f, g \rangle = \langle P_n f, g - P_n g + P_n g \rangle$

$$= \langle P_n f, g - P_n g \rangle + \langle P_n f, P_n g \rangle$$

$$= \langle P_n f - f + f, P_n g \rangle$$

$$= \langle P_n f - f, P_n g \rangle + \langle f, P_n g \rangle$$

#21 By Thm 5: from Example 2,

we have  $P_0=1$ ,  $P_1=x$ ,  $P_2=x^2-\frac{1}{3}$ .

then  $P_3(x) = (x-a_3)P_2 - b_3P_1$

$$\text{where } a_3 = \frac{\langle xP_2, P_2 \rangle}{\langle P_2, P_2 \rangle} = \frac{\int_{-1}^1 x(x^2-\frac{1}{3})^2 dx}{\int_{-1}^1 (x^2-\frac{1}{3})^2 dx} = 0$$

$$b_3 = \frac{\langle xP_2, P_1 \rangle}{\langle P_1, P_1 \rangle} = \frac{\int_{-1}^1 x(x^2-\frac{1}{3})x dx}{\int_{-1}^1 x^2 dx} = \frac{4}{15}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_3 = xP_2 - \frac{4}{15}P_1 = x^3 - \frac{3}{5}x.$$

Use the same algorithm to find  $P_4$  and  $P_5$ .

#22. Here the space becomes  $C[0,1]$ ,

and inner-product is  $\int_0^1 fg dx$ .

Let  $P_0=1$ ,  $P_1=x-a_1$

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ Find } a_1 = \frac{\langle xP_0, P_0 \rangle}{\langle P_0, P_0 \rangle} = \frac{\int_0^1 x dx}{\int_0^1 1 dx} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_1 = x - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\textcircled{2} P_2 = (x-a_2)P_1 - b_2P_0$$

$$\text{where } a_2 = \frac{\langle xP_1, P_1 \rangle}{\langle P_1, P_1 \rangle} = \frac{\int_0^1 x(x-\frac{1}{2})^2 dx}{\int_0^1 (x-\frac{1}{2})^2 dx} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$b_2 = \frac{\langle xP_1, P_0 \rangle}{\langle P_0, P_0 \rangle} = \frac{\int_0^1 x(x-\frac{1}{2}) dx}{\int_0^1 1 dx} = \frac{1}{12}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_2 = (x-\frac{1}{2})(x-\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{12} = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}$$

Similar for  $P_3$ .

□