Generalized Harmonic Evolutions of Binary Black Hole Spacetimes

Lee Lindblom
Caltech

Collaborators: Michael Boyle, Duncan Brown, Gregory Cook, Larry Kidder, Geoffrey Lovelace, Abdul Mroue, Robert Owen, Harald Pfeiffer, Oliver Rinne, Mark Scheel, Saul Teukolsky

University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee — 4 May 2007

Generalized Harmonic Evolutions of Binary Black Hole Spacetimes

Lee Lindblom
Caltech

Collaborators: Michael Boyle, Duncan Brown, Gregory Cook, Larry Kidder, Geoffrey Lovelace, Abdul Mroue, Robert Owen, Harald Pfeiffer, Oliver Rinne, Mark Scheel, Saul Teukolsky

University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee — 4 May 2007

- Generalize Harmonic (GH) gauge conditions.

Generalized Harmonic Evolutions of Binary Black Hole Spacetimes

Lee Lindblom
Caltech

Collaborators: Michael Boyle, Duncan Brown, Gregory Cook, Larry Kidder, Geoffrey Lovelace, Abdul Mroue, Robert Owen, Harald Pfeiffer, Oliver Rinne, Mark Scheel, Saul Teukolsky

University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee — 4 May 2007

- Generalize Harmonic (GH) gauge conditions.
- Constraint damping in the GH system.

Generalized Harmonic Evolutions of Binary Black Hole Spacetimes

Lee Lindblom
Caltech

Collaborators: Michael Boyle, Duncan Brown, Gregory Cook, Larry Kidder, Geoffrey Lovelace, Abdul Mroue, Robert Owen, Harald Pfeiffer, Oliver Rinne, Mark Scheel, Saul Teukolsky

University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee — 4 May 2007

- Generalize Harmonic (GH) gauge conditions.
- Constraint damping in the GH system.
- Moving Black Holes.

Generalized Harmonic Evolutions of Binary Black Hole Spacetimes

Lee Lindblom
Caltech

Collaborators: Michael Boyle, Duncan Brown, Gregory Cook, Larry Kidder, Geoffrey Lovelace, Abdul Mroue, Robert Owen, Harald Pfeiffer, Oliver Rinne, Mark Scheel, Saul Teukolsky

University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee — 4 May 2007

- Generalize Harmonic (GH) gauge conditions.
- Constraint damping in the GH system.
- Moving Black Holes.
- Binary Black Hole Evolutions.

Traditional ADM Gauge Conditions

- Construct a foliation of spacetime by spatial slices.
- Choose a time function with t = const. on these slices.
- Choose spatial coordinates, x^k, on each slice.



- Decompose the 4-metric ψ_{ab} into its 3+1 parts:
$ds^2 = \psi_{ab}dx^a dx^b = -N^2dt^2 + g_{ij}(dx^i + N^i dt)(dx^j + N^j dt)$.
- The lapse N and shift N^i measure how coordinates are laid out on spacetime:

$$\vec{n} = \partial_\tau = \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau}\partial_t + \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial \tau}\partial_k, = \frac{1}{N}\partial_t - \frac{N^k}{N}\partial_k.$$

- Spacetime coordinates are determined in the traditional ADM method by specifying the lapse N and shift N^i.

Generalized Harmonic Gauge Conditions

- An alternate way to specify the coordinates is through the generalized harmonic gauge source function H^a:
- Let H^a denote the function obtained by the action of the scalar wave operator on the coordinates x^a:

$$H^a \equiv \nabla^c \nabla_c x^a = \psi^{bc}(\partial_b \partial_c x^a - \Gamma^e_{bc}\partial_e x^a) = -\Gamma^a,$$

where $\Gamma^a = \psi^{bc}\Gamma^a{}_{bc}$ and ψ_{ab} is the 4-metric.

Generalized Harmonic Gauge Conditions

- An alternate way to specify the coordinates is through the generalized harmonic gauge source function H^a:
- Let H^a denote the function obtained by the action of the scalar wave operator on the coordinates x^a:

$$H^a \equiv \nabla^c\nabla_c x^a = \psi^{bc}(\partial_b\partial_c x^a - \Gamma^e_{bc}\partial_e x^a) = -\Gamma^a,$$

  where $\Gamma^a = \psi^{bc}\Gamma^a{}_{bc}$ and ψ_{ab} is the 4-metric.
- Specifying coordinates by the *generalized harmonic* (GH) method can be accomplished by choosing a gauge-source function $H_a(x,\psi) = \psi_{ab}H^b$, and requiring that $H_a(x,\psi) = -\Gamma_a = -\Gamma_{abc}\psi^{bc}$.

Important Properties of the GH Method

- The Einstein equations are manifestly hyperbolic when coordinates are specified using a GH gauge function:

$$R_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}\psi^{cd}\partial_c\partial_d\psi_{ab} + \nabla_{(a}\Gamma_{b)} + F_{ab}(\psi, \partial\psi),$$

where ψ_{ab} is the 4-metric, and $\Gamma_a = \psi^{bc}\Gamma_{abc}$. The vacuum Einstein equation, $R_{ab} = 0$, has the same principal part as the scalar wave equation when $H_a(x, \psi) = -\Gamma_a$ is imposed.

Important Properties of the GH Method

- The Einstein equations are manifestly hyperbolic when coordinates are specified using a GH gauge function:

$$R_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}\psi^{cd}\partial_c\partial_d\psi_{ab} + \nabla_{(a}\Gamma_{b)} + F_{ab}(\psi, \partial\psi),$$

  where ψ_{ab} is the 4-metric, and $\Gamma_a = \psi^{bc}\Gamma_{abc}$. The vacuum Einstein equation, $R_{ab} = 0$, has the same principal part as the scalar wave equation when $H_a(x, \psi) = -\Gamma_a$ is imposed.
- Imposing coordinates using a GH gauge function profoundly changes the constraints. The GH constraint, $\mathcal{C}_a = 0$, where

$$\mathcal{C}_a = H_a + \Gamma_a,$$

  depends only on first derivatives of the metric. The standard Hamiltonian and momentum constraints, $\mathcal{M}_a = 0$, are determined by the derivatives of the gauge constraint $\mathcal{C}_a$:

$$\mathcal{M}_a \equiv G_{ab}n^b = \left[\nabla_{(a}\mathcal{C}_{b)} - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{ab}\nabla^c\mathcal{C}_c\right]n^b.$$

Constraint Damping Generalized Harmonic System

- Pretorius (based on a suggestion from Gundlach, et al.) modified the GH system by adding terms proportional to the gauge constraints:

$$0 = R_{ab} - \nabla_{(a}\mathcal{C}_{b)} + \gamma_0\left[n_{(a}\mathcal{C}_{b)} - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{ab}\, n^c\, \mathcal{C}_c\right],$$

  where n^a is a unit timelike vector field. Since $\mathcal{C}_a = H_a + \Gamma_a$ depends only on first derivatives of the metric, these additional terms do not change the hyperbolic structure of the system.

Constraint Damping Generalized Harmonic System

- Pretorius (based on a suggestion from Gundlach, et al.) modified the GH system by adding terms proportional to the gauge constraints:

$$0 \;=\; R_{ab} - \nabla_{(a}\mathcal{C}_{b)} + \gamma_0\left[n_{(a}\mathcal{C}_{b)} - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{ab}\,n^c\,\mathcal{C}_c\right],$$

  where n^a is a unit timelike vector field. Since $\mathcal{C}_a = H_a + \Gamma_a$ depends only on first derivatives of the metric, these additional terms do not change the hyperbolic structure of the system.

- Evolution of the constraints $\mathcal{C}_a$ follow from the Bianchi identities:

$$0 \;=\; \nabla^c\nabla_c\mathcal{C}_a - 2\gamma_0\nabla^c\left[n_{(c}\mathcal{C}_{a)}\right] + \mathcal{C}^c\nabla_{(c}\mathcal{C}_{a)} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_0\,n_a\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{C}_c.$$

  This is a damped wave equation for $\mathcal{C}_a$, that drives all small short-wavelength constraint violations toward zero as the system evolves (for $\gamma_0 > 0$).

Numerical Tests of the New GH System

- 3D numerical evolutions of static black-hole spacetimes illustrate the constraint damping properties of our GH evolution system.
- These evolutions are stable and convergent when $\gamma_0 = \gamma_2 = 1$.



Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.



Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.
  - Cannot add/remove individual grid points.



Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.
  - Cannot add/remove individual grid points.
- Straightforward method: re-grid when holes move too far.



Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.
  - Cannot add/remove individual grid points.
- Straightforward method: re-grid when holes move too far.



Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.
  - Cannot add/remove individual grid points.
- Straightforward method: re-grid when holes move too far.



Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.
  - Cannot add/remove individual grid points.
- Straightforward method: re-grid when holes move too far.
- Problems:
  - Re-gridding/interpolation is expensive.



Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.
  - Cannot add/remove individual grid points.
- Straightforward method: re-grid when holes move too far.
- Problems:
  - Re-gridding/interpolation is expensive.
  - Difficult to get smooth extrapolation at trailing edge of horizon.



Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.
  - Cannot add/remove individual grid points.
- Straightforward method: re-grid when holes move too far.
- Problems:
  - Re-gridding/interpolation is expensive.
  - Difficult to get smooth extrapolation at trailing edge of horizon.
  - Causality trouble at leading edge of horizon.



Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.
  - Cannot add/remove individual grid points.
- Straightforward method: re-grid when holes move too far.
- Problems:
  - Re-gridding/interpolation is expensive.
  - Difficult to get smooth extrapolation at trailing edge of horizon.
  - Causality trouble at leading edge of horizon.





Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.
  - Cannot add/remove individual grid points.
- Straightforward method: re-grid when holes move too far.
- Problems:
  - Re-gridding/interpolation is expensive.
  - Difficult to get smooth extrapolation at trailing edge of horizon.
  - Causality trouble at leading edge of horizon.





Moving Black Holes in a Spectral Code

- Spectral: Excision boundary is a smooth analytic surface.
  - Cannot add/remove individual grid points.
- Straightforward method: re-grid when holes move too far.
- Problems:
  - Re-gridding/interpolation is expensive.
  - Difficult to get smooth extrapolation at trailing edge of horizon.
  - Causality trouble at leading edge of horizon.
- Solution:
  Choose coordinates that smoothly track the location of the black hole.

  For a black hole binary this means using coordinates that rotate with respect to inertial frames at infinity.



Evolving Black Holes in Rotating Frames

- Coordinates that rotate with respect to the inertial frames at infinity are needed to track the horizons of orbiting black holes.
- Evolutions of Schwarzschild in rotating coordinates are unstable.



- Evolutions shown use a computational domain that extends to $r = 1000M$.
- Angular velocity needed to track the horizons of an equal mass binary at merger is about $\Omega \approx 0.2/M$.
- Problem caused by asymptotic behavior of metric in rotating coordinates: $\psi_{tt} \sim \rho^2\Omega^2$, $\psi_{ti} \sim \rho\Omega$, $\psi_{ij} \sim 1$.

Dual-Coordinate-Frame Evolution Method

- Single-coordinate frame method uses the one set of coordinates, $x^{\bar{a}} = \{\bar{t}, x^{\bar{\imath}}\}$, to define field components, $u^{\bar{\alpha}} = \{\psi_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}, \Pi_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}, \Phi_{\bar{\imath}\bar{a}\bar{b}}\}$, and the same coordinates to determine these components by solving Einstein's equation for $u^{\bar{\alpha}} = u^{\bar{\alpha}}(x^{\bar{a}})$:

$$\partial_{\bar{t}} u^{\bar{\alpha}} + A^{\bar{k}\,\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} \partial_{\bar{k}} u^{\bar{\beta}} = F^{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Dual-Coordinate-Frame Evolution Method

- Single-coordinate frame method uses the one set of coordinates, $x^{\bar{a}} = \{\bar{t}, x^{\bar{\imath}}\}$, to define field components, $u^{\bar{\alpha}} = \{\psi_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}, \Pi_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}, \Phi_{\bar{\imath}\bar{a}\bar{b}}\}$, and the same coordinates to determine these components by solving Einstein's equation for $u^{\bar{\alpha}} = u^{\bar{\alpha}}(x^{\bar{a}})$:

$$\partial_{\bar{t}} u^{\bar{\alpha}} + A^{\bar{k}\,\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} \partial_{\bar{k}} u^{\bar{\beta}} = F^{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

- Dual-coordinate frame method uses basis vectors of one coordinate system to define components of fields, and a second set of coordinates, $x^a = \{t, x^i\} = x^a(x^{\bar{a}})$, to represent these components as functions, $u^{\bar{\alpha}} = u^{\bar{\alpha}}(x^a)$.

Dual-Coordinate-Frame Evolution Method

- Single-coordinate frame method uses the one set of coordinates, $x^{\bar{a}} = \{\bar{t}, x^{\bar{\imath}}\}$, to define field components, $u^{\bar{\alpha}} = \{\psi_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}, \Pi_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}, \Phi_{\bar{\imath}\bar{a}\bar{b}}\}$, and the same coordinates to determine these components by solving Einstein's equation for $u^{\bar{\alpha}} = u^{\bar{\alpha}}(x^{\bar{a}})$:

$$\partial_{\bar{t}} u^{\bar{\alpha}} + A^{\bar{k}\,\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} \partial_{\bar{k}} u^{\bar{\beta}} = F^{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

- Dual-coordinate frame method uses basis vectors of one coordinate system to define components of fields, and a second set of coordinates, $x^a = \{t, x^i\} = x^a(x^{\bar{a}})$, to represent these components as functions, $u^{\bar{\alpha}} = u^{\bar{\alpha}}(x^a)$.
- These functions are determined by solving the transformed Einstein equation:

$$\partial_t u^{\bar{\alpha}} + \left[\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \bar{t}} \delta^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^{\bar{k}}} A^{\bar{k}\,\bar{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{\beta}}\right] \partial_i u^{\bar{\beta}} = F^{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Testing Dual-Coordinate-Frame Evolutions

- Single-frame evolutions of Schwarzschild in rotating coordinates are unstable, while dual-frame evolutions are stable:



- Dual-frame evolution shown here uses a comoving frame with $\Omega = 0.2/M$ on a domain with outer radius $r = 1000M$.

Horizon Tracking Coordinates

- Coordinates must be used that track the motions of the holes.
- The coordinate transformation from inertial coordinates, $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$, to co-moving coordinates (x, y, z),

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = e^{a(\bar{t})} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi(\bar{t}) & -\sin\varphi(\bar{t}) & 0 \\ \sin\varphi(\bar{t}) & \cos\varphi(\bar{t}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x} \\ \bar{y} \\ \bar{z} \end{pmatrix},$$

  with $t = \bar{t}$, is general enough to keep the holes fixed in co-moving coordinates for suitably chosen functions $a(\bar{t})$ and $\varphi(\bar{t})$.
- Since the motions of the holes are not known *a priori*, the functions $a(\bar{t})$ and $\varphi(\bar{t})$ must be chosen dynamically and adaptively as the system evolves.

Horizon Tracking Coordinates II



- Measure the comoving centers of the holes: $x_c(t)$ and $y_c(t)$, or equivalently $Q^x(t) = [x_c(t) - x_c(0)]/x_c(0)$ and $Q^y(t) = y_c(t)/x_c(t)$.
- Choose the map parameters $a(t)$ and $\varphi(t)$ to keep $Q^x(t)$ and $Q^y(t)$ small.

Horizon Tracking Coordinates II



- Measure the comoving centers of the holes: $x_c(t)$ and $y_c(t)$, or equivalently $Q^x(t) = [x_c(t) - x_c(0)]/x_c(0)$ and $Q^y(t) = y_c(t)/x_c(t)$.
- Choose the map parameters $a(t)$ and $\varphi(t)$ to keep $Q^x(t)$ and $Q^y(t)$ small.
- Changing the map parameters by the small amounts, δa and $\delta\varphi$, results in associated small changes in δQ^x and δQ^y:

$$\delta Q^x = -\delta a, \qquad\qquad \delta Q^y = -\delta\varphi.$$

Horizon Tracking Coordinates II



- Measure the comoving centers of the holes: $x_c(t)$ and $y_c(t)$, or equivalently $Q^x(t) = [x_c(t) - x_c(0)]/x_c(0)$ and $Q^y(t) = y_c(t)/x_c(t)$.
- Choose the map parameters $a(t)$ and $\varphi(t)$ to keep $Q^x(t)$ and $Q^y(t)$ small.
- Changing the map parameters by the small amounts, δa and $\delta\varphi$, results in associated small changes in δQ^x and δQ^y:

$$\delta Q^x = -\delta a, \qquad \delta Q^y = -\delta\varphi.$$

- Measure the quantities $Q^y(t)$, $dQ^y(t)/dt$, $d^2Q^y(t)/dt^2$, and set

$$\frac{d^3\varphi}{dt^3} = \lambda^3 Q^y + 3\lambda^2\frac{dQ^y}{dt} + 3\lambda\frac{d^2Q^y}{dt^2} = -\frac{d^3Q^y}{dt^3}.$$

  The solutions to this "closed-loop" equation for Q^y have the form $Q^y(t) = (At^2 + Bt + C)e^{-\lambda t}$, so Q^y always decreases as $t \to \infty$.

Horizon Tracking Coordinates III

- In practice the coordinate maps are adjusted only at a prescribed set of adjustment times $t = t_i$.
- In the time interval $t_i < t < t_{i+1}$ we set:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t) &= \varphi_i + (t - t_i)\frac{d\varphi_i}{dt} + \frac{(t-t_i)^2}{2}\frac{d^2\varphi_i}{dt^2}\\ &\quad + \frac{(t-t_i)^3}{2}\left(\lambda\frac{d^2Q^y_i}{dt^2} + \lambda^2\frac{dQ^y_i}{dt} + \lambda^3\frac{Q^y_i}{3}\right),\end{aligned}$$

  where Q^x, Q^y, and their derivatives are measured at $t = t_i$, so these maps satisfy the closed loop
  equation at $t = t_i$.

Horizon Tracking Coordinates III

- In practice the coordinate maps are adjusted only at a prescribed set of adjustment times $t = t_i$.
- In the time interval $t_i < t < t_{i+1}$ we set:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t) &= \varphi_i + (t - t_i)\frac{d\varphi_i}{dt} + \frac{(t-t_i)^2}{2}\frac{d^2\varphi_i}{dt^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(t-t_i)^3}{2}\left(\lambda\frac{d^2Q_i^y}{dt^2} + \lambda^2\frac{dQ_i^y}{dt} + \lambda^3\frac{Q_i^y}{3}\right),\end{aligned}$$

  where Q^x, Q^y, and their derivatives are measured at $t = t_i$, so these maps satisfy the closed loop equation at $t = t_i$.

- **This works!** We are now able to evolve binary black holes using horizon tracking coordinates until just before merger.



Evolving Binary Black Hole Spacetimes

- We can now evolve binary black hole spacetimes with excellent accuracy and computational efficiency through many orbits.



Head-on Merger Movie



Lapse-Ψ_4 Movie

Evolving Binary Black Hole Spacetimes II

- Gravitational waveform and frequency evolution for the equal mass non-spinning BBH evolution.





Evolving Binary Black Hole Spacetimes III

- Preliminary error estimates for the gravitational wave phase for the 15 orbit evolution.



Evolving Binary Black Hole Spacetimes IV

- Preliminary comparisons of the gravitational wave phase for the 15 orbit evolution with various PN order predicted waveforms.



PN-phase comparison and
numerical error budget (early May)